

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.tspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/943,399 08/30/2001		Frankie Fariborz Roohparvar	400.130US01 8233			
27073	7590	06/25/2003				
	JAY & POLO	GLAZE, P.A.	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 581009 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55458-1009				РНАМ,	PHAM, LY D	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2818		
				DATE MAILED: 06/25/2003	DATE MAILED: 06/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
<i>(</i>		09/943,399	ROOHPARVAR ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Ly D Pham	2818
Period fo	• •		
- Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing adaptent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may oly within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) N	thirty (30) days will be considered timely. NONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	Mav 2003 .	
2a)⊠	-1.	nis action is non-final.	
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	ance except for formal n	natters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-4 and 14-19 is/are pending in the a	application.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) 1-4 and 14-19 is/are rejected.		•
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement	
Application	on Papers	. ciocacii regali ement.	
9) 🔲 7	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10)⊠ 7	he drawing(s) filed on <u>08 January 2002</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ oh	iected to by the Examiner
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) 🗌 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐	disapproved by the Examiner
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		and provide by the Examinor.
12)[] T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 🔲 🔏	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)
	All b) Some * c) None of:	promy andor of o.o.o.	. 3 113(a)-(a) 01 (i).
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No
3	B. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		
* Se	application from the international Bur se the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies no	t received.
14) 🗌 Ad	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) 15)∐ Ad	☐ The translation of the foreign language prov cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestion	visional application has t	Deen received
ttachment(:	5)		
Notice Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trad O-326 (Rev.		ion Summary	Part of Paper No. 16

Art Unit: 2818

FINAL ACTION

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 – 4 and 14 – 19 are presented for the examination. Claims 1, 4, and 18 have been amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 14-15, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. (US Pat 6,324,602 B1).

Regarding claims 1, 3 and 4, Chen et al. disclose a flash memory comprising:

an array of non-volatile memory cells (background of the invention mention non-volatile

RAM, col. 1, lines 16 - 21, and an array of memory cells, col. 1, lines 36 - 40);

a clock signal connection to receive a clock signal (col. 1, lines 59 - 61);

data connections (inherent in all electrical applications, fig. 1 is exemplary showing different data connections among different modules);

a rambus dynamic random access memory (RDRAM) interconnect configuration coupled to the array of non-volatile memory cells (col. 1, line 66 – col. 2, line 9), the interconnect

Art Unit: 2818

configuration comprising a multiplexed row and column address bus (col. 1, line 66 - col. 2, line 9), and data connections that are burst oriented (col. 1, lines 36 - 42); and

output circuitry to provide output data on the data connections (col. 5, lines 17-21, output enabled by clock, col. 5, lines 49-53, I/O circuitry) on rising and falling edges of a clock signal (col. 1, lines 55-57, data transferred on both edges of the clock in DDR RAM, also, col. 6, lines 36-41); and

input circuitry to receive input data on the data connections on rising and falling edges of the clock signal (col. 9, lines 20 - 23, I/O interface transferring information, data, on both edges of the clock. Here, I/O includes both input and output scenarios).

Regarding claims 2 and 18, Chen also discloses the flash memory of claim 1, further comprising sense amplifier coupled to the array (col. 5, lines 10 - 17).

Regarding claim 14, Chen also discloses the flash memory of claim 1, wherein the array of non-volatile memory cells are arranged in a plurality of addressable banks (col. 1, lines 48 – 54).

Regarding claim 15, the examiner takes an Office Notice that it is considered common and well-known in the art, at the time the invention was made, that addressable bank contains addressable sectors of memory cells.

5. Claims 16, 17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. (US Pat 6,324,602 B1) in view of Deneroff et al. (US Pat 6,215,686 B1).

Regarding claims 16 and 17, although Chen et al. did not disclose the flash memory of claims 1 and 4, wherein the output circuitry is adapted to provide the output data starting at a

Art Unit: 2818

selected location and continuing for a programmed number of locations in a programmed sequence, nevertheless, the claimed feature has been shown by Deneroff et al. (col. 10, lines 64 - 67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to integrate the inventive features disclosed by Deneroff to the disclosure o Chen so that different parts of a memory word may be separately addressed with a unique address (col. 11, lines 19 - 21).

Regarding claim 19, Deneroff further discloses the flash memory of claim 18, wherein the memory is adapted to provide burst-oriented read accesses (col. 11, lines 19-21).

Conclusion

6. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 2818

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

7. When responding to the office action, Applicant(s) are advised to provide the examiner

with the page and line numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist the examiner to

locate the appropriate paragraphs.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication on earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ly Pham, whose telephone number is 703-305-4862. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:30am to 5:00pm, alternate Friday

off. The examiner's supervisor, David Nelms, can be reached at 703-308-4910. The fax number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Ly Pham

June 17, 2003

HOAI HO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5